



Today's date is April 6, 2021

On April 5, 2021, Mike Johnston the State Coordinator for the New Mexico Assembly received an electronic communication from FedEx. The FedEx scanned contents are as stated:

Affirmation: Notice of Interest  
Request for Fair Hearing First Notice to New Mexico Assembly  
[Private Law Outside the United States]

The contents of this notice contain a combination of affirmations and affidavits. The "Affiants" as stated in the 'Notice of Interest' are Robin Bullard Williamson, Wendee Jane Doster, and Victor Nelson Weitz.

The Affiants have stated that the Respondents are the New Mexico Assembly, Coordinator Mike Johnston, Co-Coordinators: Evangeline and Dominic Knipfing, Rodney Perez Treasurer, SIA Coordinator: Tim McClain, State Recorder: Carrie McClain.

It must be stated that the Affiants have named in error Evangeline Knipfing as a Co-Coordinator. She is not a co-coordinator, but is the web coordinator and elected State Recording Secretary.

Rodney Perez is not the Treasurer, but is an elected State Recording Secretary. The Sign In America Coordinators are Tim and Carrie McClain. Tim McClain is an elected State Recording Secretary. This is a misidentifying of the officers and volunteers and for the record, it should be corrected as the Respondents were not properly addressed.

It must also be stated that nothing has been received by Registered Mail. This is the proper way to notice, not by way of unidentified FedEx scans via electronic communication.

The self-described Affiants should ponder over the next 30 days whether they wish to be heard in a municipal or territorial court, because Affidavits are not used by Living Men and Women in Common Law and the vacillation between affirmation and affidavit is confusing at best.

The Affiants have asked for a "Fair Hearing" as if there was a Common Law Court set up and readily at their disposal. If this were the case, would an affidavit be used?

The New Mexico Assembly is asking each and all the declarations of Robin Bullard Williamson, Wendee Jane Doster, and Victor Nelson Weitz to be clearly stated and separate in their own affirmation of testimony and statement of facts.

The New Mexico Assembly is asking for the man and women claiming to be Affiants to make your notice as a living man and two living women and to not address this Assembly as legal persons. There is no place in our Assembly for legal fictions, affidavits, affiants, and quoting constitutional rights.

Further, improperly identifying yourselves as "R. Williamson, W. Doster, and Victor Weitz" further confuses the matter as does the use of Parse Syntax.

We will respond to a properly crafted Notice when we receive one. We cannot at this time respond to Affiants acting as legal persons with Affidavits. We are living men and women.

To understand why we have taken this position, please read the two following articles by Anna Von Reitz about Affidavits and Constitutions.

We look forward to reviewing your corrected Notice served the proper way.

Kindly,  
The New Mexico Assembly

## **We Don't Use Affidavits- Ever**

*By Anna Von Reitz*

Using an affidavit identifies you as a Legal Person, occupying an "office of personhood" and automatically subjects you to the foreign law and codes of the British Territorial Government.

You have to be part of their government or part of ours, and there is no iffy-and-sy-orsie to it. Most people are desperately trying to get back to being Americans and standing on the land and soil jurisdiction where they belong, but they are also so ignorant and confused that they are constantly "going back into the maze" that we just got them out of, by doing idiot things like issuing "affidavits" when they have been repeatedly, relentlessly and pointedly told that we, Americans, never, ever use "affidavits".

We are not subject to foreign law. We do not occupy offices of personhood in foreign governments. And we do not ever quote Federal Code for any purpose other than reminding our Employees what their published law says about them and their obligations ---- not our obligations--- their Obligations.

We, as Americans and as living people, cannot and do not EVER use or issue "affidavits" of any kind. Period.

We use Testimony in the Form of an Affidavit, which simply means that we number the points of our testimony 1, 2, 3, 4.... but we NEVER, EVER submit or create Affidavits of ANY KIND.

Because when we do, we lose everything we have gained in the process of declaring our proper political status, and are "presumed" to be "voluntarily" adopting the status of a British Territorial U.S. Citizen and also voluntarily subjecting ourselves to that foreign law --- instead of standing apart from it and exercising our exemption from it. Which is the whole point!

I am so disgusted, so appalled, when I have told everyone these facts for years on end. Wake to hell up, all of you. Your lives and your country depend on it.

Those who want to play in the Federal Code Sandbox need to go join a District Assembly right now and get to hell out of our State Assemblies. Permanently.

There are two mighty efforts going on --- Employees trying to correct their operation of the District and Municipal Governments, and the Employers putting their government into Session. Never the twain shall meet.

So all you guys who want to correct the District Government -- hop to it. Be my guest. But get out of our assemblies and go join your own. Stop causing confusion. If you want to live under Federal Code, fine. Again, hop to it. You don't belong in any State Assembly. Leave. Don't let the screen door hit you on your way out.

## **No Affidavits, No Executors, No Contracts, and No Constitutions**

*By Anna Von Reitz*

Let's begin with the First Amazing Fact in reverse order: no Constitutions.

Americans don't "derive their substance" from Constitutions. We derive our standing and substance from The Declaration of Independence. That is what forms the backbone and substance of our political identity.

We, and our ancestors, are the ones who fought and bled for that freedom and earned the right to be called "the free, sovereign, and independent people".

So, we, Americans, don't stand "under" any Constitution and that includes any State of State Constitution.

Even though people tend to be stubbornly egocentric, and to assume that everything is about them, the Constitutions are not about us.

The Constitutions are 95% about our Federal Subcontractors, the organization of their activities, the stipulated services they are to perform, and the limitations placed on them---- which is why our Federal Subcontractors are responsible for obeying the Constitutions and supporting them---- as a condition of their employment.

The Constitutions are what grant our Federal Subcontractors their political status as U.S. Citizens and/or as Municipal citizens of the United States, so they are also intrinsically vital to our Federal Employees. Without the Constitutions, they become "stateless"--- but we sail right on.

We are only mentioned, largely in Amendments, like X and XI, and as afterthoughts -- in the Preamble and the Bill of Rights.

So as Americans who aren't Federal Employees, please wake up and realize where your strength and identity comes from: The Declaration of Independence.

And don't expect any Constitution to tell you who you are, because the Constitutions are not about you--- aren't now and never were.

This is probably a big surprise to people who have been indoctrinated all their lives to place an inordinate emphasis and importance on the Constitutions ---without, however, understanding what the Constitutions are.

The Constitutions are the implementation agreements resulting from the peace process that ended The War of Independence. The Treaties that underlie the Constitutions are what drive them and caused the Constitutions, which are Power-Sharing Agreements, to exist.

Many Americans don't even realize that there is more than one Constitution involved. Such is the ignorance promoted by the "Public" School System-- but it is an ignorance which we must now overcome.

I went over the "news" that there are no contracts under American Common Law in some detail yesterday in an Article titled bluntly, "There Are No Contracts Under American Common Law". In it, I explained why we don't do contracts and why contracts are not applicable to Americans. The final two points speak to the same issues of identities and capacities.

Living people can't do affidavits. Only Officers or elected Officials of corporations can issue affidavits because affidavits are "statements" made by Persons -- those occupying Offices of Personhood.

People have a voice, so we speak, we say. Persons make statements. See the difference?

Thus, a Borough Mayor can give an affidavit. A British Warrant Officer working for the IRS can give an affidavit. A billing clerk working for the Big City Electric Company, Inc., can give an affidavit. But you cannot stand as a living American, someone asserting all your Natural and Unalienable

Rights, and issue any kind of affidavit. You have to provide testimony in the "form" of an affidavit, which is a different beastie entirely.

I have said this before and again and again, and still, not a day goes by without some "affidavit" drafted by a clueless American coming across my desk. These are worthless pieces of paper, often composed in some weird combination of English and all capital letters Dog Latin, in which some pitiful ignoramus claims that he has Natural and Unalienable rights to do something or other---- while issuing an affidavit, and therefore, apparently serving as a member of a foreign government acting under delegated power.

Right. So ask yourselves--- does an Officer in the British Merchant Marines have the Natural and Unalienable Rights of an American?

No, he does not.

So why would you ever issue an affidavit as if you were such an Officer, claiming that you had Natural and Unalienable Rights? The condition (being an Officer of a Corporation or Military Service) precludes the possibility that you have any such rights.

No wonder their judges throw your crap on the floor and don't read it. It's nothing but gibberish and constructive oxymorons from start to finish --- endless reams of it. You talk endlessly about rights that you--at the same time-- admit you don't have, by submitting an affidavit and thereby admitting

to be a Brit or an officer of the Holy Roman Empire.

It would be funny, if it didn't matter who you are and if it didn't matter in what capacity you stand--- but for millions of Americans, it does matter. It's the difference between being prosecuted under foreign law or not prosecuted at all. It's the difference between losing your home and not losing your home. It's the difference between thousands of dollars of fines and fees, versus owing nothing.

For God's sake, wake up. Know who you are. See what you are giving away to these snakes. And learn to defend yourselves from your own misguided employees.

Finally, let me address the latest rage in some patriot circles --- claiming executorship of your own estate.

Obviously, if you have an estate that requires executorship, you are "dead" and you admit that you are "dead". And if you volunteer to play "executor" of such an estate, there is no doubt whatsoever that you know and agree that you are "dead" with respect to your birthright.

The moment that you say that you are "competent to manage my own affairs" you are admitting to being a Brit and an "Authorized Representative" of the King, and yes, you may then administer your own estate --- for the benefit of the King, to whom you surrender it.

This is more of the same crap that Phil Hudok accepted, in which the perpetrators proposed that if he agreed that he was a "natural person" ---- that is, admit that he was dead, they would pay him off using his own credit card to do it.

After World War II the Powers That Were put everyone's assets into Cestui Que Vie trusts, on the pretense that so many people and so many governments were disrupted, it was impossible to know who owned what --- so the Allied Governments stepped in and seized everything belonging to everyone via legal chicanery and used this as an instrumentality to pillage and plunder and control.

They did this even in places like America and Canada, where little or none of the war actually touched our shores, where there was no bombing, and no excuse for taking such measures.

There are only three positions in a trust --- donor, trustee, and beneficiary. It is possible for a donor to also serve as the intended beneficiary of a trust, but it is not possible to be the donor and the trustee, too.

So when you claim to be the "Executor" --- the King's Man in charge of the trust, acting as the Trustee, you are unavoidably claiming to be: (1) a Brit and an Officer of the King, administering a British Estate for the King, and (2) someone having no interest in the trust being administered.

That is, by volunteering to act as the Executor of your own estate, you are gaining a secondary control of it, but you are giving it up at the same time.

I doubt that that is what most American patriots are aiming for.

No, the position of power in a trust is that of the Donor, and they have "presumed" you to be the Donor and intended Beneficiary of this trust that they have created "for" you. So take up the position of the Donor and tell them what you want done. Make it explicit and simple. I am an American and I am the presumed Donor of the trust and I wish it to be disgorged and liquidated in my favor. Settle any debts before the court and set me free.

See how simple that was? Or, alternatively, enter your own vacated Trust Court, reserved for the Donors as a condition of remedy to make all this crappola "legal"----- and directly nullify and set aside whatever nonsense the rats have offered to do to your estate.

Remember that I told you that they set up all these State Trusts in the wake of the Civil War? And they operated these things under names like Ohio State and Nevada State and Wisconsin State ---- and just neglected to add the word "Trust" so that you wouldn't know what was going on?

They should have called it what it was --- Ohio State Trust, Nevada State Trust, Wisconsin State Trust --- but they didn't and they didn't for a reason. Hiding the truth of what they'd done and hiding your position of power as the Presumed Donors of these trusts (or your parents or grandparents or great-grandparents position as "presumed" Donors) would have destroyed any secondary power they had as foreign executors de son tort.

So, no, children, you don't want to ever claim to be foreign executors charged with the job of administering estates of "persons lost at sea", whereabouts unknown. You want to stand there flatfooted as the long-lost "Presumed" Donor of your own estate, or, in the case of our States, the Lawful Inheritor thereof, and ream these self-satisfied crooks all the way to Banff and back.

Knowing who you are and who you aren't, is the absolute key, both to your freedom and to control of your own assets.

Please stop tripping over your own feet? Stop issuing affidavits. Stop claiming to be executors of your own estates. Stop signing contracts--- good faith agreements are what Americans use to conduct business. Stop looking to Constitutions to tell you much of anything about your status or history, because the Constitutions are 95% about your foreign Federal Employees and have precious little to do with you.

Virtually everything I have said here has been said before in multiple other articles, but the information isn't getting out to the patriot community fast enough --- with the result that thousands more Americans are suffering and going to jail and fiddling around and getting entangled in these identity scams, and being victimized by those who are pillaging these fraudulently constructed public trusts. Form up, fellows. See through it and put an end to it.